1 Motivation

Linguists use treebanks as resource for collecting evidence of phenomena which cannot be easily recovered from data that is annotated at word level only, this includes collecting quantitative data, getting non-categorical information such as heaviness or finding natural sounding counter examples\(^1\) (e.g. Arnold et al. (2000); Bresnan et al. (to appear)).\(^2\) Tools such as TIGERSearch allow easy access to the encoded information.\(^3\) This poster presents work on the *Tübinger Baumbank deutscher Zeitungssprache* (Tüba-D/Z). It describes the encoding of coordination phenomena in the treebank and gives a qualitative and quantitative survey.

2 The TüBa-D/Z Treebank

It is a corpus of newspaper texts which currently comprises about 22,000 sentences (more than 381,000 tokens) taken from the *Wissenschafts-CD* of 'die tageszeitung' (taz). The annotation combines information on inflectional morphology, part of speech, phrase structure (or rather recursive chunking), grammatical dependencies and topological fields. In addition, it includes marking of named entities and annotation of anaphoric and coreference relations (cf. Hinrichs et al. (2004)).

2.1 Coordination in TüBa-D/Z

To ensure reusability the annotation of the treebank is as theory-neutral as possible and a flat analysis is adopted for coordination. In the default case the conjuncts of a

\(^1\)Note the objections of Pullum (2003) against corpus examples for illustration or educational means.

\(^2\)See also specialised conferences such as the annual ‘Treebanks and Linguistic Theory’

\(^3\)TIGERSearch (www.ims.uni-stuttgart.de/projekte/TIGER/TIGERSearch/) offers a user-friendly interface and a query option that is based on graphical tree structures which are then translated to the query language.
coordination are dominated by a common mother node of the same category. To mark the hierarchical indeterminacy there is no head function specified but all conjuncts are equally related to their mother by means of the functional label KONJ(unkt). The conjunction is marked by the part-of-speech label KON and is connected to the common mother node by a default ‘non-head’ edge. In coordination of unlike categories the left-most conjunct determines the category of the whole coordination per definition, e.g. (abridged example)

(1) Sie wird \([NX \text{ Schwimmeisterin}] \text{ und } [ADJX \text{ weise}]\).

‘She will become a swimming champion and (she will become) wise.’

TüBa-D/Z adopts a chunk approach for verb phrases: arguments and verbal or sentential modifiers are immediately dominated by nodes of topological fields. Their functions however are encoded in specific edge labels. Coordination below sentence level is modelled as coordination of (groups of) topological fields (marked by means of special node labels FKONJ and FKoord). The same strategy is employed in cases of gapping and deletion. TüBa-D/Z does neither use empty categories (such as the Penn Treebank) nor crossing branches (such as the TIGER Treebank). Discontinuous structures are to be recovered by means of specific functional labels. A dislocated conjunct in a split-up coordination for example is explicitly marked, e.g. the second conjunct (ONK) of a split-up coordinated nominative subject (ON):

(2) \([\text{(ON)} \text{ Krieg und Frieden}] \text{ sollte Pflichtlektüre werden } [\text{(ONK)} \text{ oder Goethes Faust}]\).

‘War and Peace or Goethe’s Faust ought to become mandatory reading.’

2.2 Samples of a Quantitative Survey

8.133 sentence (36.7%) involve a coordination structure (marked by the edge label KONJ). A query for split coordination results in 88 matches some of which require further discussion of their analysis. A search for asymmetric coordination (see Höhle (1983) and Frank (2002) among others) provides 83 true positives, e.g.

(3) Da [stehen die Zuhörer auf] und [applaudieren herzlich].

‘Then the audience stood up and applauded warmly.’

which exemplifies a Subject Gap Fronted construction. In the case of coordinated subject NPs it is interesting to investigate the number marking on the subject conjuncts and the finite verb; there is a default pattern: if the conjunction is und (’and’), coordinated singular noun phrases agree with a plural verb. On the other hand, if the conjunction is oder (’or’) the coordinated singular nouns agree with a singular verb (cf. Reis (1979)). The interesting cases are those that do not follow the default: 55 matches for und-coordination and singular verb were found, 27 out of which turn out
to be true positives. Only eight matches are found of oder-coordination of singular NPs plus plural verb, three of which are relevant examples.

(4) KommunikationSG und MobilitätSG wirdSG dadurch extrem schwierig.  
'Communication and mobility becomes extremely difficult due to this.'

(5) VulkanSG oder HurrikanSG sorgenPL für Irritation in der gottgewollten Ordnung.  
'Volcanoes and hurricanes create irritation in God’s ordering (of the world).'

3 Conclusion

A treebank is a unique resource for linguistic evidence. It allows to find examples and collect frequency data on complex syntactic phenomena. We presented a qualitative and quantitative survey on coordination phenomena encoded in the TüBa-D/Z Treebank.
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The survey was pursued when about 69% of the sentences included morphological information.